Logic (Group 1)	Depth (Group 2)	Relevance (Group 3)
<b>I</b> Is that really true? How can we verify that?	■ Does that address the complexity of this issue/problem?	<b>耳</b> How is that related to this issue?
<b>▼</b> When the combination of thoughts is mutually supporting and makes sense in combination, the thinking is logical.	■ Statements lack depth when they fail to deal with the complexities of the issue.	# A statement can be clear, accurate, precise but irrelevant to the issue.
Is there agreement among people and evidence?	Are there time constraints that will restrict us to explore the depth of this?	Remind ourselves of our aims and values
Could you show some evidence to support that?		Is this appropriate to the scope of the issue?
Where did you get that information?	Have we considered all parts of this problem? Has it been over-simplified?	How do we apply this to the specific problem at hand?
Does that really make sense?	Can we have some time to absorb the issue and its	Is the contribution practical and achievable?
	Is there someone with expertise in this area who can elaborate?	How is that related to this issue?
		Focus on topic/issue at hand.
	Are there any studies with data that demonstrate this?	
	Are there any references you could link to us?	
Breadth (Group 4)	Accuracy (Group 5)	Clarity (Group 6)
<b>▼</b> What other points of view might we be missing?	<b>耳</b> Can you elaborate? Can you give me an example?	■ How is It possible to be both x and y? isn't there a contradiction there?
An argument that considers only one viewpoint but	■ A statement can be clear but inaccurate	
ignores other perspectives lacks breadth.	Degree fo bias	■ When a statement is vague or fuzzy, we can't tell if it's
How have others (People, communities, countries) dealt with this problem?	Accuracy vs precision. Are we being accurate in regards to the question?	accurate or relevant.
Have considered that there may be other perspective on how to deal with this complex issue?		Can you simplify / summarise your point?
	Asking questions? What evidence is being used and what is being extracted for said evidence.	l don't understand this particular/specific point - could you please expand?
Did you considered the broader perspective?	What evidence supports a hypothesis?	Could you please clarify? What do you mean by that?
Are we thinking about everyone in the community?	Self education and being informed is important for decision	Can you give examples of what you mean?
	making	can you give examples of what you mean:

Look at the assumptions and thus degree of accuracy we can infer	My understanding of what you just said is XYZ, is that correct?
	ls X the only way possible?
	How could X and Y be possible?
	What do you mean with that? Can I have more information?